Five questions. Every role.

Compare how ClearInsight Solutions would approach the decisions each team needs to make.

Discovery question	Technology Finance The Technology Investment Steward	Budget and Finance The Financial Accountability Guardian	Program Management The Mission Delivery Strategist	Acquisition The Contract Action Evaluator	Executive Leadership The Strategic Resource Decision-Maker
01 **What decision would ClearInsight Solutions help my team make?**	The evaluation would focus on whether you can afford planned technology investments alongside existing operations. We would connect investment plans with recurring service costs and contract commitments so you can assess the funding requirement before recommending priorities.	The evaluation would focus on whether a difference between your approved spend plan and financial execution requires action. We would examine how your team could trace that difference to the underlying activity and determine whether a funding adjustment is needed.	The evaluation would focus on whether available funding supports the work your program still needs to deliver. We would connect remaining activities with expected costs and existing commitments so you can assess whether to adjust delivery or seek a funding action.	The evaluation would focus on the financial effect of a proposed contract action. We would connect an option or modification with the program spend plan and relevant funding information so your team can review the action with program and budget colleagues.	The evaluation would focus on a resource decision you need to make, such as shifting funding across priorities. We would connect the recommendation with the affected programs and contract commitments so you can assess the mission consequence and explain your decision.
02 **What would ClearInsight Solutions add to the information and tools we already use?**	We would identify where your existing tools leave staff assembling the connection between technology investments, recurring costs, and available funding. The proposed scope would show how ClearInsight Solutions could address that gap within your current planning process.	We would start with the comparisons your staff rebuild for each execution review. The evaluation would test whether ClearInsight Solutions can connect approved plans with financial activity while preserving reconciliation with your official records.	We would examine where your program schedule and financial reports leave unanswered questions about the cost of remaining work. The evaluation would test whether ClearInsight Solutions can connect those views closely enough to support a delivery decision.	We would examine where contract records need supporting program and funding context. The evaluation would test whether ClearInsight Solutions can bring that information together for a contract review, with each amount clearly defined.	We would identify where leadership receives conflicting figures or recommendations without enough supporting detail. The evaluation would test whether ClearInsight Solutions can provide a shared financial picture that lets you examine the recommendation and its basis.
03 **How will we know the information is reliable enough to use?**	We would establish the sources and owners of investment estimates, recurring charges, and renewal assumptions. Your team would verify whether the information is current enough for portfolio planning and whether forecast costs are clearly distinguished from recorded costs.	We would agree on the official records used for reconciliation and how differences are resolved. Your team would verify reporting dates and trace figures to their sources before relying on the view for funding advice.	We would review the assumptions behind remaining work and expected costs with your program and budget staff. Your team would verify that estimates reflect the current delivery plan and that proposed changes are distinguishable from approved activity.	We would confirm the source and meaning of contract ceilings, funded amounts, obligations, and actual costs. Your team would verify how contract and financial records are matched and how unresolved differences are displayed.	We would demonstrate how a funding recommendation connects to supporting records and planning assumptions. Your staff would verify the detail, while you would assess whether the view makes uncertainty and the consequences of a decision clear.

Five questions. Every role.

Compare how ClearInsight Solutions would approach the decisions each team needs to make.

Discovery question	Technology Finance The Technology Investment Steward	Budget and Finance The Financial Accountability Guardian	Program Management The Mission Delivery Strategist	Acquisition The Contract Action Evaluator	Executive Leadership The Strategic Resource Decision-Maker
04 **What would it take to start, and what would it cost?**	We would scope one technology portfolio and identify the required data, security review, and agency staff involvement. The quote would separate implementation costs from recurring licensing and support, with assumptions about future expansion made explicit.	We would scope one execution review and identify the records, reconciliation rules, and staff responsibilities needed to support it. The quote would explain data preparation and ongoing maintenance so you can assess the effect on your team's workload.	We would scope one program around a specific delivery or funding decision. The quote would identify the information your staff must contribute, the training required, and the effort needed to keep the program view current.	We would scope a defined set of contracts or a recurring contract review. The quote would identify required records and system access, along with the coordination needed from program and budget staff. It would also provide the commercial information needed to assess purchasing options.	We would scope an initial effort around one leadership decision and identify the offices that must participate. The quote would make the total cost and agency resource commitment clear, with agreed measures for judging whether further investment is warranted.
05 **What should we do next, and what would justify moving forward?**	Bring one upcoming investment or renewal decision to a scoping session. Moving forward would be justified if the evaluation shows a useful view of the combined funding requirement and a manageable implementation effort.	Bring one recurring execution report and its supporting records. Moving forward would be justified if the evaluation shows that your team can explain a variance with reconciled information and less preparation work.	Bring one current delivery change or funding concern. Moving forward would be justified if the evaluation helps your team assess whether remaining funding covers the work ahead and explain the available response.	Bring one upcoming option or modification, with program and budget representatives. Moving forward would be justified if the evaluation provides the financial context needed for that review and fits your established process.	Select one recurring resource decision and sponsor a scoping session with the responsible offices. Moving forward would be justified if the evaluation produces a clearer, traceable basis for that decision at a cost and level of effort you can support.